

## PROPOSED RULE MAKING (RCW 34.05.320)

## CR-102 (7/22/01) Do NOT use for expedited rule making

1889	(NCW 34.05.320)		rule making	
Agency: Department of Agriculture X Original Notice				
Droproposal Statement of Inquiry we	o filed as WSB 02 22 004:	· or	Supplemental Notice	
Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR 02-22-094; or Expedited Rule Making Proposed notice was filed as WSR ; or			to WSR	
Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.0		, 01	Continuance of WSR	
(a) Title of rule: (Describe Subject) As		order 97-02 rule review, the der	artment is proposing to repeal the	
following sections that regulate commerces Brand and product names; WAC 16-200 Directions for use and precautionary states Screenings; WAC 16-200-830 Nonprote 16-200-865 Commercial feed license; Wac 16-200-865 Commercial feed license; Wac practices. These sections will be replaced specifically addresses the needs and recomplete current national standards, safegy protection for consumers and the regulation of the section of th	cial feed: WAC 16-200-750-770 Expression of guarantements; WAC 16-200-805 in nitrogen; WAC 16-200-805 in nitrogen; WAC 16-200-885 Commercied with a new, clearly writtquirements of manufacture ed new chapter 16-250 What ward the health of man and ted industry. Specifically, of the Washington State profile style that allows users to inctly present information with the mercial animal feed by infeed) of the Association of American and promotes regulatory	Definitions and terms; WAC antees; WAC 16-200-790 Ingred Tonnage fees; WAC 16-200-840 Artificial color; WAC 16-25 and distributors of commercial commandation of commercial color and the color	16-200-755 Label format; WAC 16-200-760 dient statement; WAC 16-200-795 815 Adulteration; WAC 16-200-820 0-860 Used sacks and containers; WAC WAC 16-200-887 Good manufacturing 50 WAC Commercial Feed Rules) that cial feed in Washington State. ions that are easy to understand and use, a for orderly commerce, and provide fish industry. apply WSDA processed animal waste	
<ul> <li>commercial feed industry in our sister states.</li> <li>Safeguards public and animal health and provides increased protection for consumers and the commercial feed industry.</li> <li>Other identifying information: None</li> </ul>				
(b) Statutory authority for adoption: Cha RCW	oter 15.53 RCW and Chap	oter 34.05 Statute being im	plemented: Chapter 15.53 RCW	
(c) Summary: See Attachment (c) Reasons supporting proposal: See A	Attachment (c)			
(d) Name of Agency Personnel Respons		ocation	Telephone	
1. Drafting Neil Lanning	Olympia	a	(360) 902-2052	
2. Implementation Ali Kashani	Olympia	a	(360) 902-2028	
3. Enforcement Ali Kashani	Olympia	a	(360) 902-2028	
(e) Name of proponent (person or organ	ization): Washington Sta	ate Department of Agricultur	re ☐ Private ☐ Public X Governmental	
(f) Agency comments or recommendation	ons, if any, as to statutory	language, implementation, enf		
(g) Is rule necessary because of:			TEV.T	
Federal Law?	Yes X No	If yes, ATTACH COPY OF To Citation:	IEXI	
State Court Decision?	Yes X No Yes X No	Citation.		
		Cubmit written commo		
(h) HEARING LOCATION:		Submit written comme Laurie Mauerman	ents to:	
See Attachment (h)		Washington State Dep P.O. Box 42560	partment of Agriculture	
		Olympia, WA 98504-2 FAX (360) 902-2093 ( By 5:00 p.m. on Octob	or E-mail Imauerman@agr.wa.gov	
Date: Time: See Attach	ment (h)	DATE OF INTENDED	ADOPTION: November 19, 2003 DE REVISER USE ONLY	
Assistance for persons with disabilities: Laurie Mauerman by October 13, 2003	Contact		JE REVISER USE ONE!	
TDD (360) 902-1996 or ( )				
NAME (TYPE OR PRINT) Bob Arrington				
SIGNATURE				
TITLE Assistant Director	DATE September 17, 2003			

(j) Short explanation of rule, its purpose, and anticip	pated effects:			
See Attachment (c)				
Does proposal change existing rules? X YES	□NO	If yes, describe changes:		
See Attachment (c)				
(k) Has a small business economic impact statemer	nt been prepared under	chapter 19.85 RCW?		
Yes. Attach copy of small business economic impact statement.				
A copy of the statement may be obtained	d by writing to.			
telephoning: ( )				
faxing: ( )				
X No. Explain why no statement was prepared: In order to mitigate any potential adverse economic impact of its proposed new commercial feed requirements, the department is delaying the effective date of the new chapter until July 1, 2004. In addition, because the department could not determine the potential cost impact of its proposed new commercial feed requirements it conducted an economic impact survey. A survey, cover letter and a stamped envelope addressed to the department's Rules Coordinator was mailed (June 25, 2003) to commercial feed licensees licensed by the department (332 surveys). All licensees were given until August 1, 2003, to complete and return the survey. On July 11, 2003, the department mailed a follow up memo to all commercial feed licensees reminding them to complete and return the economic impact survey to the department.				
The survey response rate was 20.2%. As a result of the cost, sales and employee figures reported by the respondents, the department calculated that the average annual new cost imposed by the proposed new commercial feed regulations is \$0.00 per each hundred dollars of sales reported and \$15.43 per employee. The department does not consider these costs to be "more than minor" and, therefore, has not prepared a formal Small Business Economic Impact Statement as described in chapter 19.85 RCW.				
(I) Does RCW 34.05.328 apply to this rule adoption?				
department could not determine the potential cost impact of its proposed new commercial feed requirements it conducted an economic impact survey. A survey, cover letter and a stamped envelope addressed to the department's Rules Coordinator was mailed (June 25, 2003) to commercial feed licensees licensed by the department (332 surveys). All licensees were given until August 1, 2003, to complete and return the survey. On July 11, 2003, the department mailed a follow up memo to all commercial feed licensees reminding them to complete and return the economic impact survey to the department.  The survey response rate was 20.2%. As a result of the cost, sales and employee figures reported by the respondents, the department calculated that the average annual new cost imposed by the proposed new commercial feed regulations is \$0.00 per each hundred dollars of sales reported and \$15.43 per employee. The department does not consider these costs to be "more than minor" and, therefore, has not prepared a formal Small Business Economic Impact Statement as described in chapter 19.85 RCW.  (I) Does RCW 34.05.328 apply to this rule adoption?   Yes X No				